

Algorithms for the module lattice isomorphism problem in certain fields

I) The module lattice isomorphism problem

1) Remainders: LIP:

The lattice isomorphism problem (LIP) asks, given a (bad) basis B of $O\mathbb{Z}^n$ for some (secret) $O \in O_n(\mathbb{R})$ orthogonal, to recover O .

Equivalently, we can recover $C = O^{-1}B$, which is a (bad) basis of \mathbb{Z}^n .

LIP (Gram matrix formulation):

Given $G = B^T B = C^T C$, recover the matrix C (or any matrix \tilde{C} s.t. $G = \tilde{C}^T \tilde{C}$ and \tilde{C} is a basis of \mathbb{Z}^n).

Remarks: • The Gram matrix formulation of LIP is convenient because C (the solution) and G (the input) have integer coefficients \rightarrow no need to manipulate real numbers with O and B . \Rightarrow This is the formulation we will

we in this talk.

- More generally, one could define LIP for other lattices L than \mathbb{Z}^n . (but we will not need it for this talk)

2) Reminders: number theory:

- $K = \mathbb{Q}[X]/P(X)$ number field of degree d
- \mathcal{O}_K ring of integers, for this talk $\mathcal{O}_K = \mathbb{Z}[X]/P(X)$
- σ canonical embedding (injective):

$$\sigma: K \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}^d$$
$$a = \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} a_i X^i \mapsto (\overbrace{a(\alpha_1)}^{=: \sigma_1(a)}, \dots, \overbrace{a(\alpha_d)}^{=: \sigma_d(a)})$$

where $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_d)$ are the complex roots of P .

Four running examples:

- $K = \mathbb{Q}$, $\mathcal{O}_K = \mathbb{Z}$, $\sigma = \text{id}$
- $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{3}, \dots, \sqrt{p_r})$, $d = 2^r$, totally real field
(all roots of P are in \mathbb{R})
- $K = \mathbb{Q}[X]/X^d + 1$, d power-of-two \leadsto power-of-two cyclotomic field
- $K = \mathbb{Q}[X]/X^d - X - 1$, d prime \leadsto NTRU prime field

• $K_{\mathbb{R}} \subseteq \mathbb{C}^d$, $K_{\mathbb{R}} := \left\{ (x_1, \dots, x_d) \mid \begin{array}{l} x_i \in \mathbb{R} \text{ if } \alpha_i \in \mathbb{R} \\ x_i = \bar{x}_j \text{ if } \alpha_i = \bar{\alpha}_j \end{array} \right\}$

via σ , we see K as a subset of $K_{\mathbb{R}}$.

• In $K_{\mathbb{R}}$, sum and multiplications are performed coordinate-wise.

• The size of an element a of K is the hermitian norm of its embedding $\sigma(a)$:

$$\|a\| := \|\sigma(a)\| = \langle \sigma(a), \sigma(a) \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^d \overline{(\sigma(a))_i} (\sigma(a))_i$$

• σ and $\|\cdot\|$ extend to vectors of K^k (and matrices of $K^{k \times k}$)

• Over $K_{\mathbb{R}}$, we can define a complex conjugation:

for $x \in K_{\mathbb{R}}$, $\bar{x} = (\bar{x}_1, \dots, \bar{x}_d) \in K_{\mathbb{R}}$.

⚠ if $x \in \sigma(K)$, we do not always have $\bar{x} \in \sigma(K)$ (this is true for totally real and CM fields, but not for other fields)

3) Module - LIP :

In this talk, we will only consider modules of rank 2.

Module-LIP: Given $G = \overline{\sigma(C)}^T \sigma(C) \in K_{\mathbb{R}}^{2 \times 2}$
where C is a basis of \mathcal{O}_K^2 , recover C
(or any \tilde{C} basis of \mathcal{O}_K^2 s.t. $G = \overline{\sigma(\tilde{C})}^T \sigma(\tilde{C})$).

Remark:

We can generalise the definition to other modules than \mathcal{O}_K^2 , and to modules with larger rank.

Hawrk: The module-LIP problem defined above (with the module \mathcal{O}_K^2) is used with K a power-of-two cyclotomic field for the signature scheme Hawrk [Decas, Postlethwaite, Pallas and van Wierden. Asiacrypt '23] submitted to the NIST.

II) Module-LIP over totally real fields:

1) Totally real fields:

Def: K is totally real if all the roots $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_d$ of f are in \mathbb{R} (equivalently, $\sigma(K) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$).

Example: $K = \mathbb{Q}[x]/x^2-2 = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$

more generally, $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{3}, \sqrt{5}, \dots)$

If K is totally real, $\forall a \in K, \overline{\sigma(a)} = \sigma(a)$,
so the complex conjugation on K is the identity
and we can reformulate module-LIP.

Module-LIP (totally real case): Given $G = C^T C$

with C a basis of \mathcal{O}_K^2 , find C .

2) Algorithm:

e.g. $C = \begin{pmatrix} x & 1 \\ 3 & 2x \end{pmatrix}$

Let us write

$$C = \begin{pmatrix} a & c \\ b & d \end{pmatrix}$$

with $a, b, c, d \in \mathcal{O}_K$

and $ad - bc = 1$

We are given

$G = C^T C$ and want
to recover a, b, c and d .

$$G = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & c \\ b & d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a^2 + b^2 & ac + bd \\ ac + bd & c^2 + d^2 \end{pmatrix} =: \begin{pmatrix} q_1 & q_2 \\ q_2 & q_3 \end{pmatrix}$$

e.g. $G = \begin{pmatrix} x & 3 \\ 1 & 2x \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x & 1 \\ 3 & 2x \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x^2 + 9 & 7x \\ 7x & 1 + 4x^2 \end{pmatrix}$

Key observation: the diagonal elements are sums
of 2 squares of (secret) integers ($\in \mathcal{O}_K$)

How to solve sum of 2 squares equations?

over \mathbb{Z}

Consider $\mathbb{Q}(i)$ and $\mathbb{Z}[i]$.

if $z = z_1 + z_2 i \in \mathbb{Q}(i)$

then $z\bar{z} = z_1^2 + z_2^2$

So $z_0 := a + bi \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$ is a solution to the

norm equation $z\bar{z} = q_1$
($= a^2 + b^2$)

To solve norm equation:

- construct the ideal $I = z_0 \times \mathbb{Z}[i]$
- use I and q_1 to recover z_0

Over K totally real

Same as over \mathbb{Z} !

• $L = K(i)$

• $z_0 := a + bi \in \mathcal{O}_L$ is a solution to the norm equation $z\bar{z} = q_1$

To solve it:

- (1) Construct the ideal $I = z_0 \mathcal{O}_L$.
- (2) use $I = z_0 \mathcal{O}_L + q_1 = z_0 \bar{z}_0$ to recover z_0 .

Over K totally real:

(2) can be done in poly time with an algorithm due to Gentry-Szydło (and Lenstra-Silverberg).

(1) First idea: factor $q_1 \mathcal{O}_L = \underbrace{(z_0 \mathcal{O}_L)}_{\text{known}} \times (\bar{z}_0 \mathcal{O}_L) = I \bar{I}$

↳ requires re-randomization and heuristics if we want an efficient classical algorithm.

Second idea: recall $G = \begin{pmatrix} a^2+b^2 & ac+bd \\ ac+bd & c^2+d^2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} q_1 & q_2 \\ q_2 & q_3 \end{pmatrix}$

and $ad-bc=1$

Then $\frac{a+ib}{c+id} = \frac{q_2-i}{q_3} \rightarrow$ known from public information!

Recall example:

$$C = \begin{pmatrix} x & 1 \\ 3 & 2x \end{pmatrix} \quad G = \begin{pmatrix} 11 & 7x \\ 7x & 9 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$(a+ib)q_3 = (x+3i) \times 9 = 9x + 27i$$

$$(c+id)(q_2-i) = (1+2xi)(7x-i) = 7x - i + 14x^2i + 2x = 9x + 27i$$

if $a+ib$ and $c+id$ are coprime
(this is the case, let's admit it)

then $\boxed{\left(\frac{a+ib}{c+id}\right) \times \mathcal{O}_L \cap \mathcal{O}_L = a+ib \mathcal{O}_L = \mathbb{I}}$

Example: imagine $a=3, c=5, b=d=0, \left(\frac{a+ib}{c+id}\right) \mathcal{O}_L = \left\{ \frac{3}{5}(x_1+x_2i) \mid x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}$
 $\mathcal{O}_L = \mathbb{Z}[i]$
 $\cap \mathcal{O}_L = \left\{ y_1 + y_2i \mid y_1, y_2 \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}$
 $= \left\{ 3x_1 + 3x_2i \mid x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}$

We obtain a close formula for \mathbb{I} , using only public information.

This solves (1). Combining with (2) solves the norm equation, so one recovers a, b . Similarly one recovers c and d .

We obtain a

$\boxed{\text{poly time algorithm solving module-LIP (for module } \mathcal{O}_K^2) \text{ when } K \text{ is totally real}}$

II) Module-LIP over other number fields:

1) Fields with one real embedding:

Imagine $\alpha_1 \in \mathbb{R}$ (the first root of P).

We are given $G = \begin{pmatrix} \overline{\sigma(a)}\sigma(a) + \overline{\sigma(b)}\sigma(b) & * \\ * & * \end{pmatrix}$

The first coordinate of $\overline{\sigma(a)}\sigma(a) + \overline{\sigma(b)}\sigma(b)$

$$\text{is } \overline{a(\alpha_1)} a(\alpha_1) + \overline{b(\alpha_1)} b(\alpha_1)$$

$$= a(\alpha_1)^2 + b(\alpha_1)^2 \quad \text{because } \alpha_1 \in \mathbb{R}$$

$$= (a^2 + b^2)(\alpha_1)$$

$$a, b \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$$

(admitted) Given $(a^2 + b^2)$ evaluated at α_1 with sufficiently many bits of precision, one can recover the coefficients ^(eq) of the polynomial $a^2 + b^2$ exactly.

Doing the same for the other coefficients of G , we can recover

$$\tilde{G} = \begin{pmatrix} a^2 + b^2 & ac + bd \\ ac + bd & c^2 + d^2 \end{pmatrix} = C^T C$$

\Rightarrow we are back to the same situation as for totally real fields.

We can apply the same norm equation algorithm.

(1) will work exactly the same \leadsto poly time

(2) is a bit more tricky. We can obtain

\leadsto heuristic quantum poly time

\leadsto heuristic classical poly time if the Galois group of K is 2-transitive.

Overall: Heuristic poly time (classical) also for module-LIP (in module \mathcal{O}_K^2) when K is an NTRU Prime field ($K = \mathbb{Q}[x]/x^p - x - 1$)

2) CM fields:

Let's look at a concrete example: $K = \mathbb{Q}(i)$, $\mathcal{O}_K = \mathbb{Z}[i]$.

$$C = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 + ia_2 & c_1 + ic_2 \\ b_1 + ib_2 & d_1 + id_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$G = \overline{C}^T C = \begin{pmatrix} a_1^2 + a_2^2 + b_1^2 + b_2^2 & (a_1 - ia_2)(c_1 + ic_2) + (b_1 - ib_2)(d_1 + id_2) \\ (a_1 + ia_2)(c_1 - ic_2) + (b_1 + ib_2)(d_1 - id_2) & c_1^2 + c_2^2 + d_1^2 + d_2^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

Sum of 4 squares on the diagonal now.

\hookrightarrow Quaternion algebra? $\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{Q} + i\mathbb{Q} + j\mathbb{Q} + ij\mathbb{Q}$
with $i^2 = j^2 = -1$ $ij = -ji$

$z_0 = a_1 + ia_2 + jb_1 + ij b_2$ is a solution to the norm equation $z_0 \bar{z}_0 = q_1$ (where $\bar{z}_0 = a_1 - ia_2 - jb_1 - ij b_2$)

↳ same 2 steps as before but in A now.

① works the same

② We don't know how to make it work...
(The non-commutativity is really annoying)

⇒ We do not get an algorithm, but only a reduction.

Remark: This would generalize to any CM field, but then we consider A a quaternion algebra over a number field.

Overall: poly time reduction from module-LIP (with module \mathcal{O}_K^2) in cyclotomic fields (or CM fields) to the problem of computing the generator of a principal ideal in a quaternion algebra (over a nb field).
(i.e., a problem in a module of rk 1 in A).