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## Main result

We describe a (Monte Carlo) algorithm

- computing the class group and unit group of a number field $K$
- provably correct (assuming ERH)


## Motivations

Lattice-based cryptography: use structured lattices for efficiency - module lattices

- ideal lattices (i.e., modules of dim 1)
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## Motivations

Lattice-based cryptography: use structured lattices for efficiency

- module lattices
- ideal lattices (i.e., modules of dim 1)

Cryptanalysis: recent algorithms computing short vectors in ideal lattices require to compute units and class groups

ideals lattices [CDW17]
(in cyclotomic fields)

Also: useful in algorithmic number theory

History (algorithms computing units and class group)
Notation: $L_{x}(\alpha)=\exp \left(O\left(\log (x)^{\alpha} \cdot \log \log (x)^{1-\alpha}\right)\right), \quad K$ degree $n$ and discriminant $\Delta_{K}$

|  | Number fields | Complexity | Non heuristic |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| [HM89] | quadratic imaginary | $L_{\Delta_{K}}(1 / 2)$ | $\checkmark$ |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

## (all algorithms assume ERH)

[HM89] Hafner, McCurley. A rigorous subexponential algorithm for computation of class groups. Journal of the American mathematical society.
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Notation: $L_{x}(\alpha)=\exp \left(O\left(\log (x)^{\alpha} \cdot \log \log (x)^{1-\alpha}\right)\right), \quad K$ degree $n$ and discriminant $\Delta_{K}$

|  | Number fields | Complexity | Non heuristic |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| [HM89] | quadratic imaginary | $L_{\Delta_{K}}(1 / 2)$ | $\checkmark$ |
| [Buc88] | fixed degree $n$ | $L_{\Delta_{K}}(1 / 2)$ | $X$ |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

## (all algorithms assume ERH)

[Buc88] Buchmann. A subexponential algorithm for the determination of class groups and regulators of algebraic number fields. Séminaire de théorie des nombres.

History (algorithms computing units and class group)
Notation: $L_{x}(\alpha)=\exp \left(O\left(\log (x)^{\alpha} \cdot \log \log (x)^{1-\alpha}\right)\right), \quad K$ degree $n$ and discriminant $\Delta_{K}$

|  | Number fields | Complexity | Non heuristic |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| [HM89] | quadratic imaginary | $L_{\Delta_{K}}(1 / 2)$ | $\checkmark$ |
| [Buc88] | fixed degree $n$ | $L_{\Delta_{K}}(1 / 2)$ | $X$ |
| [BF14] | arbitrary degree $n$ | $L_{\Delta_{K}}(2 / 3+\varepsilon)$ | $X$ |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

## (all algorithms assume ERH)

[BF14] Biasse, Fieker. Subexponential class group and unit group computation in large degree number fields. LMS Journal of Computation and Mathematics.

History (algorithms computing units and class group)
Notation: $L_{x}(\alpha)=\exp \left(O\left(\log (x)^{\alpha} \cdot \log \log (x)^{1-\alpha}\right)\right), \quad K$ degree $n$ and discriminant $\Delta_{K}$

|  | Number fields | Complexity | Non heuristic |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $[$ HM89 $]$ | quadratic imaginary | $L_{\Delta_{K}}(1 / 2)$ | $\checkmark$ |
| $[$ Buc88 $]$ | fixed degree $n$ | $L_{\Delta_{K}}(1 / 2)$ | $X$ |
| $[$ BF14] | arbitrary degree $n$ | $L_{\Delta_{K}}(2 / 3+\varepsilon)$ | $X$ |
| $\left[\begin{array}{c}\text { BF14,Gel17] } \\ {[B E F+17]}\end{array}\right.$ | specific defining <br> polynomial | as small as <br> $L_{\Delta_{K}}(1 / 3)$ | $X$ |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

## (all algorithms assume ERH)

[Gel17] Gélin. Class group computations in number fields and applications to cryptology. PhD thesis. [BEF+17] Biasse, Espitau, Fouque, Gélin, Kirchner. Computing generator in cyclotomic integer rings. Eurocrypt.

History (algorithms computing units and class group)
Notation: $L_{x}(\alpha)=\exp \left(O\left(\log (x)^{\alpha} \cdot \log \log (x)^{1-\alpha}\right)\right), \quad K$ degree $n$ and discriminant $\Delta_{K}$

|  | Number fields | Complexity | Non heuristic |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $[$ HM89 $]$ | quadratic imaginary | $L_{\Delta_{K}}(1 / 2)$ | $\checkmark$ |
| $[$ Buc88 $]$ | fixed degree $n$ | $L_{\Delta_{K}}(1 / 2)$ | $X$ |
| $[$ BF14 $]$ | arbitrary degree $n$ | $L_{\Delta_{K}}(2 / 3+\varepsilon)$ | $X$ |
| $\left[\begin{array}{c}\text { [BF14,Gel17 }] \\ {[B E F+17]}\end{array}\right.$ | specific defining <br> polynomial | as small as <br> $L_{\Delta_{K}}(1 / 3)$ | $X$ |
| $[$ BS16 $]$ | arbitrary degree $n$ | quantum poly | $\checkmark$ |
|  |  |  |  |

## (all algorithms assume ERH)

[BS16] Biasse, Song. A polynomial time quantum algorithm for computing class groups and solving the principal ideal problem in arbitrary degree number fields. SODA.

History (algorithms computing units and class group)
Notation: $L_{x}(\alpha)=\exp \left(O\left(\log (x)^{\alpha} \cdot \log \log (x)^{1-\alpha}\right)\right), \quad K$ degree $n$ and discriminant $\Delta_{K}$ $\rho_{K}$ residue of the zeta function of $K$ at 1

|  | Number fields | Complexity | Non heuristic |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $[$ HM89 $]$ | quadratic imaginary | $L_{\Delta_{K}}(1 / 2)$ | $\checkmark$ |
| $[$ Buc88 $]$ | fixed degree $n$ | $L_{\Delta_{K}}(1 / 2)$ | $X$ |
| $[$ BF14] | arbitrary degree $n$ | $L_{\Delta_{K}}(2 / 3+\varepsilon)$ | $X$ |
| [BF14,Gel17] <br> $[B E F+17]$ | specific defining <br> polynomial | as small as <br> $L_{\Delta_{K}}(1 / 3)$ | $X$ |
| $[$ BS16 $]$ | arbitrary degree $n$ | quantum poly | $\checkmark$ |
| This work | arbitrary degree $n$ | $\rho_{K}\left(L_{\Delta_{K}}(1 / 2)+L_{n^{n}}(2 / 3)\right)$ | $\checkmark$ |

## (all algorithms assume ERH)

[BS16] Biasse, Song. A polynomial time quantum algorithm for computing class groups and solving the principal ideal problem in arbitrary degree number fields. SODA.

## Outline of the talk

(1) Some definitions

## (2) Heuristic algorithms

(3) Removing the first heuristic
(4) Removing the second heuristic
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Number field: $K=\mathbb{Q}[X] /\left(X^{n}+1\right)$ and $\mathcal{O}_{K}=\mathbb{Z}[X] /\left(X^{n}+1\right) \quad\left(n=2^{k}\right)$ (or $K$ any number field)

Ideals: $I \subseteq \mathcal{O}_{K}$ s.t. for all $x, y \in I$ and $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_{K}$

- $x+y \in I$
- $\alpha \cdot x \in I$
e.g., $x \cdot \mathcal{O}_{K}:=\left\{x \cdot y \mid y \in \mathcal{O}_{K}\right\}$ is a principal ideal

If you prefer:

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{O}_{K} & \leftrightarrow & \mathbb{Z} \\
I \subseteq \mathcal{O}_{K} & \leftrightarrow & x \in \mathbb{Z} \text { or } x \cdot \mathbb{Z} \\
I:=\mathcal{O}_{K} & \leftrightarrow & 1 \text { or } \mathbb{Z}
\end{array}
$$

## Properties of ideals

Arithmetic properties:

- Multiplication / Inverse: I $\cdot \mathrm{J}, \boldsymbol{I} \cdot I^{-1}=\mathcal{O}_{K}$
- Divisibility: $x \in I \Leftrightarrow x \cdot \mathcal{O}_{K}=\boldsymbol{I} \cdot J \quad(6 \in 2 \cdot \mathbb{Z}, 6=2 \cdot 3)$
- Unique factorization: $\boldsymbol{I}=\prod_{\mathfrak{p}} \mathfrak{p}^{n_{\mathfrak{p}}} \quad\left(n_{\mathfrak{p}} \geq 0\right)$
- Size: $\mathcal{N}(I)(\leftrightarrow|\mathbb{Z} / \times \mathbb{Z}|=|x|$ for $x \in \mathbb{Z})$
- B-smooth: $I=\prod_{\mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{p}) \leq B} \mathfrak{p}^{n_{\mathfrak{p}}}$
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- Divisibility: $x \in I \Leftrightarrow x \cdot \mathcal{O}_{K}=\boldsymbol{I} \cdot J \quad(6 \in 2 \cdot \mathbb{Z}, 6=2 \cdot 3)$
- Unique factorization: $\boldsymbol{I}=\prod_{\mathfrak{p}} \mathfrak{p}^{n_{\mathfrak{p}}} \quad\left(n_{\mathfrak{p}} \geq 0\right)$
- Size: $\mathcal{N}(I)(\leftrightarrow|\mathbb{Z} / \times \mathbb{Z}|=|x|$ for $x \in \mathbb{Z})$
- B-smooth: $I=\prod_{\mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{p}) \leq B} \mathfrak{p}^{n_{p}}$

Geometric properties:

- Embedding:

$$
\sigma: K \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n}
$$

$$
x \mapsto\left(\sigma_{1}(x), \cdots, \sigma_{n}(x)\right) \quad\left(\sigma_{i} \text { 's are field morphisms }\right)
$$

- Lattices: $\sigma(I) \subset \mathbb{C}^{n}$ is a lattice (of rank $n$ )
- Size: $\|x\|:=\|\sigma(x)\|_{2} \quad\left(x \in \mathcal{O}_{K}\right)$


## S-units

Notations:

- $\mathcal{S}$ is a finite set of prime ideals of $\mathcal{O}_{K}$
- Log : $K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ is the logarithmic embedding $\left(\log (x)=\left(\log \left|\sigma_{1}(x)\right|, \cdots, \log \left|\sigma_{n}(x)\right|\right)\right.$, with $\sigma_{i}$ the complex embeddings of $\left.K\right)$
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## Definition
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$$
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## Definition

The Log- $\mathcal{S}$-unit lattice is

$$
\Lambda_{\mathcal{S}}:=\left\{\left(\log (x),\left(-n_{\mathfrak{p}}\right)_{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{S}}\right) \mid x \mathcal{O}_{K}=\prod_{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{S}} \mathfrak{p}^{n_{\mathfrak{p}}}\right\} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n} \times \mathbb{Z}^{|\mathcal{S}|}
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$$
\text { (e.g., } \left.\mathcal{S}=\{2,3,5\}, x=6, \quad 6=2^{1} \cdot 3^{1} \cdot 5^{0} \Rightarrow(\log (6),-1,-1,0) \in \Lambda_{s}\right)
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Computing $\mathcal{S}$-units $=$ computing a basis of $\Lambda_{\mathcal{S}}$ (or a generating set)

## Theorem and applications

Theorem
Assuming ERH, there is a Monte Carlo algorithm which computes $\Lambda_{\mathcal{S}}$ in expected time polynomial in its input length, in $\rho_{K}$, in $L_{\Delta_{K}}(1 / 2)$ and in $L_{n^{n}}(2 / 3)$.

Reminder: $\rho_{K}$ is the residue at 1 of $\zeta_{K}$
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## Theorem

Assuming ERH, there is a Monte Carlo algorithm which computes $\Lambda_{\mathcal{S}}$ in expected time polynomial in its input length, in $\rho_{K}$, in $L_{\Delta_{K}}(1 / 2)$ and in $L_{n^{n}}(2 / 3)$.

Reminder: $\rho_{K}$ is the residue at 1 of $\zeta_{K}$
Applications: we can also compute

- unit group ( $\mathcal{S}=\emptyset$ )
- class-group ( $\mathcal{S}$ generates $\mathrm{Cl}_{K}$ )
- generators of principal ideals
- class-group discrete logarithms
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## (3) Removing the first heuristic

## Computing a vector of $\Lambda_{\mathcal{S}}$

Definition: $\mathcal{S}=\{$ prime $\mathfrak{p} \mid \mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{p}) \leq B\} \quad$ (for some $B$ to be determined)
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Correctness:
Complexity: $O\left(T_{\text {sample }} \cdot p_{\text {smooth }}^{-1} \cdot|\mathcal{S}|\right)$

- $T_{\text {sample }}$ : time to sample $x$
- $p_{\text {smooth }}$ : probability that $x \mathcal{O}_{K}$ is smooth
- $|\mathcal{S}|=O(B)$ : time to test smoothness

Computing a vector of $\Lambda_{\mathcal{S}}$
Definition: $\mathcal{S}=\{$ prime $\mathfrak{p} \mid \mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{p}) \leq B\} \quad$ (for some $B$ to be determined)

Algorithm SampleVector
1: repeat
2: $\quad$ Sample random $x \in \mathcal{O}_{K}$
3: until $\times \mathcal{O}_{K}=\prod_{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{S}} \mathfrak{p}^{n_{\mathfrak{p}}}$ for some $n_{\mathfrak{p}} \in \mathbb{Z}$
4: return $\left(\log (x),\left(-n_{\mathfrak{p}}\right)_{\mathfrak{p}}\right)$

Correctness:
Complexity: $O\left(T_{\text {sample }} \cdot p_{\text {smooth }}^{-1} \cdot|\mathcal{S}|\right)$

- $T_{\text {sample }}$ : time to sample $x$
- $p_{\text {smooth }}$ : probability that $x \mathcal{O}_{K}$ is smooth
- $|\mathcal{S}|=O(B)$ : time to test smoothness


## How to sample $x$ ? - Buchmann

## Buchmann:

- sample random ideal $I=\prod_{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{S}} \mathfrak{p}^{m_{\mathfrak{p}}}$
- $x=$ Shortest_Vector(I)

$$
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- $x=$ Shortest_Vector(I)
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$x \mathcal{O}_{K}$ smooth $\Leftrightarrow x I^{-1}$ smooth
$\left(\Rightarrow\right.$ the smaller $\mathcal{N}\left(\left.x\right|^{-1}\right)$, the better $)$
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## Buchmann:

- sample random ideal $I=\prod_{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{S}} \mathfrak{p}^{m_{\mathfrak{p}}}$
- $x=$ Shortest_Vector(I)

$$
\left(x \in I \Rightarrow x \mathcal{O}_{K}=I \cdot J\right)
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$x \mathcal{O}_{K}$ smooth $\Leftrightarrow x I^{-1}$ smooth
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Complexity: $2^{O(n)}$ (for Shortest_Vector(l))

## How to sample $x$ ? - Buchmann

## Buchmann:

- sample random ideal $I=\prod_{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{S}} \mathfrak{p}^{m_{\mathfrak{p}}}$
- $x=$ Shortest_Vector(I)

$$
\left(x \in I \Rightarrow x \mathcal{O}_{K}=I \cdot J\right)
$$

$x \mathcal{O}_{K}$ smooth $\Leftrightarrow x I^{-1}$ smooth ( $\Rightarrow$ the smaller $\mathcal{N}\left(\left.x\right|^{-1}\right)$, the better)

Complexity: $2^{O(n)}$ (for Shortest_Vector(l))

Subexponential only for fixed $n$

## How to sample $x$ ? - Biasse-Fieker

Biasse-Fieker:

- sample random ideal $I=\prod_{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{S}} \mathfrak{p}^{m_{\mathfrak{p}}}$
- $x=\mathrm{BKZ}_{\beta}(I) \quad$ (blocksize $\beta$ )
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How to sample $x$ ? - Biasse-Fieker

Biasse-Fieker:

- sample random ideal $I=\prod_{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{S}} \mathfrak{p}^{m_{\mathfrak{p}}}$
- $x=\mathrm{BKZ}_{\beta}(I) \quad$ (blocksize $\beta$ )

Complexity: $2^{O(\beta)}$ (can be subexponential)
Shortness: $\|x\|_{\infty} \leq n^{n / \beta} \cdot \Delta_{K}^{1 /(2 n)} \cdot \mathcal{N}(I)^{1 / n}$

$$
\Rightarrow \quad \mathcal{N}\left(x I^{-1}\right) \leq n^{n^{2} / \beta} \cdot \sqrt{\Delta_{K}}
$$

## Intermediate summary

$\mathcal{S}:=\{$ prime $\mathfrak{p} \mid \mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{p}) \leq B\}$

Algorithm SampleVector
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2: $\quad$ Sample random $I=\prod_{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{S}} \mathfrak{p}^{m_{\mathfrak{p}}}$
3: $\quad x \leftarrow \mathrm{BKZ}_{\beta}(I)$
4: until $x I^{-1}=\prod_{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{S}} \mathfrak{p}^{n_{\mathfrak{p}}}$ for some $n_{\mathfrak{p}} \in \mathbb{Z}$
5: return $\left(\log (x),\left(-n_{\mathfrak{p}}-m_{\mathfrak{p}}\right)_{\mathfrak{p}}\right)$
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Notation: $p_{y, B}=$ proba that a uniformly random ideal of norm $\leq y$ is $B$-smooth

Asymptotically: $p_{y, B} \sim \rho(u) \approx u^{-u}(\rho$ Dickman function, $u=\log y / \log B)$
$\triangle K$ fixed and $B, u$ tending to infinity
Heuristic: $p_{\text {smooth }} \approx u^{-u}$ where $u=\frac{\log \mathcal{N}\left(\left.x\right|^{-1}\right)}{\log B}$

## Smoothness probability

Notation: $p_{y, B}=$ proba that a uniformly random ideal of norm $\leq y$ is $B$-smooth

Asymptotically: $p_{y, B} \sim \rho(u) \approx u^{-u}(\rho$ Dickman function, $u=\log y / \log B)$
$\triangle K$ fixed and $B, u$ tending to infinity
Heuristic: $p_{\text {smooth }} \approx u^{-u}$ where $u=\frac{\log \mathcal{N}\left(\left.x\right|^{-1}\right)}{\log B}$

2 assumptions hidden:

- the provable asymptotic bounds require huge $B$ to be effective (roughly $B \gtrsim 2^{2^{n}}$ )
- $x I^{-1}$ is not a random ideal of bounded norm


## Sampling one vector - summary

Algorithm SampleVector
1: repeat
2: $\quad$ Sample random $I=\prod_{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{S}} \mathfrak{p}^{m_{\mathfrak{p}}}$
3: $\quad x \leftarrow \mathrm{BKZ}_{\beta}(I)$
4: until $x I^{-1}=\prod_{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{S}} \mathfrak{p}^{n_{\mathfrak{p}}}$ for some $n_{\mathfrak{p}} \in \mathbb{Z}$
5: return $\left(\log (x),\left(-n_{\mathfrak{p}}-m_{\mathfrak{p}}\right)_{\mathfrak{p}}\right)$

Complexity (heuristic):

$$
2^{O(\beta)} \cdot B \cdot u^{u} \quad \text { with } u=\frac{\log \mathcal{N}\left(\left.x\right|^{-1}\right)}{\log B} \leq \frac{n^{2} \log n / \beta+\log \left|\Delta_{K}\right| / 2}{\log B}
$$

## Sampling one vector - summary

Algorithm SampleVector

## 1: repeat

2: $\quad$ Sample random $I=\prod_{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{S}} \mathfrak{p}^{m_{\mathfrak{p}}}$
3: $\quad x \leftarrow \mathrm{BKZ}_{\beta}(I)$
4: until $x I^{-1}=\prod_{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{S}} \mathfrak{p}^{n_{\mathfrak{p}}}$ for some $n_{\mathfrak{p}} \in \mathbb{Z}$
5: return $\left(\log (x),\left(-n_{\mathfrak{p}}-m_{\mathfrak{p}}\right)_{\mathfrak{p}}\right)$

Complexity (heuristic):

$$
2^{O(\beta)} \cdot B \cdot u^{u} \quad \text { with } u=\frac{\log \mathcal{N}\left(\left.x\right|^{-1}\right)}{\log B} \leq \frac{n^{2} \log n / \beta+\log \left|\Delta_{K}\right| / 2}{\log B}
$$

Instantiating:

- $\beta=n^{2 / 3}$
- $B=L_{\Delta_{K}}(1 / 2)+L_{n^{n}}(2 / 3)$

Total complexity: $L_{\Delta_{K}}(1 / 2)+L_{n^{n}}(2 / 3)$

## Computing the full lattice $\Lambda_{\mathcal{S}}$

Remark: One can efficiently approximate $\operatorname{det}\left(\Lambda_{\mathcal{S}}\right)=\operatorname{Reg}_{K} \cdot h_{K}$ ( $\mathcal{S}$ generates the class-group)

## Computing the full lattice $\Lambda_{\mathcal{S}}$

Remark: One can efficiently approximate $\operatorname{det}\left(\Lambda_{\mathcal{S}}\right)=\operatorname{Reg}_{K} \cdot h_{K}$
( $\mathcal{S}$ generates the class-group)
Algorithm ComputeSUnits
1: repeat
2: $\quad \boldsymbol{z}_{\boldsymbol{i}} \leftarrow$ SampleVector ()
3: until $\mathcal{L}\left(\left(\boldsymbol{z}_{i}\right)_{i}\right)$ is a lattice with the desired rank and det
4: Compute a basis $\boldsymbol{B}$ of $\mathcal{L}\left(\left(\boldsymbol{z}_{\boldsymbol{i}}\right)_{i}\right) \quad$ (linear algebra)
5: return B
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Remark: One can efficiently approximate $\operatorname{det}\left(\Lambda_{\mathcal{S}}\right)=\operatorname{Reg}_{K} \cdot h_{K}$
( $\mathcal{S}$ generates the class-group)
Algorithm ComputeSUnits
1: repeat
2: $\quad \boldsymbol{z}_{\boldsymbol{i}} \leftarrow$ SampleVector ()
3: until $\mathcal{L}\left(\left(\boldsymbol{z}_{i}\right)_{i}\right)$ is a lattice with the desired rank and det
4: Compute a basis $\boldsymbol{B}$ of $\mathcal{L}\left(\left(\boldsymbol{z}_{\boldsymbol{i}}\right)_{i}\right) \quad$ (linear algebra)
5: return B

Correctness:

## Computing the full lattice $\Lambda_{\mathcal{S}}$

Remark: One can efficiently approximate $\operatorname{det}\left(\Lambda_{\mathcal{S}}\right)=\operatorname{Reg}_{K} \cdot h_{K}$
( $\mathcal{S}$ generates the class-group)
Algorithm ComputeSUnits
1: repeat
2: $\quad \boldsymbol{z}_{i} \leftarrow$ SampleVector ()
3: until $\mathcal{L}\left(\left(\boldsymbol{z}_{i}\right)_{i}\right)$ is a lattice with the desired rank and det
4: Compute a basis $\boldsymbol{B}$ of $\mathcal{L}\left(\left(\boldsymbol{z}_{\boldsymbol{i}}\right)_{i}\right) \quad$ (linear algebra)
5: return B

## Correctness:

Heuristic: $O(B)$ vectors from SampleVector () generate $\Lambda_{\mathcal{S}}$ with good probability $\quad\left(\mathrm{rk}\left(\Lambda_{S}\right)=O(B)\right)$

## Computing the full lattice $\Lambda_{\mathcal{S}}$

Remark: One can efficiently approximate $\operatorname{det}\left(\Lambda_{\mathcal{S}}\right)=\operatorname{Reg}_{K} \cdot h_{K}$
( $\mathcal{S}$ generates the class-group)
Algorithm ComputeSUnits
1: repeat
2: $\quad \boldsymbol{z}_{i} \leftarrow$ SampleVector ()
3: until $\mathcal{L}\left(\left(\boldsymbol{z}_{i}\right)_{i}\right)$ is a lattice with the desired rank and det
4: Compute a basis $\boldsymbol{B}$ of $\mathcal{L}\left(\left(\boldsymbol{z}_{\boldsymbol{i}}\right)_{i}\right) \quad$ (linear algebra)
5: return B

## Correctness:

Heuristic: $O(B)$ vectors from SampleVector () generate $\Lambda_{\mathcal{S}}$ with good probability $\quad\left(\mathrm{rk}\left(\Lambda_{S}\right)=O(B)\right)$

Complexity: $\operatorname{poly}(B)=L_{\Delta_{K}}(1 / 2)+L_{n^{n}}(2 / 3)$

## Heuristics - summary

Heuristic 1: $p_{\text {smooth }} \approx u^{-u}$ where $u=\frac{\log \mathcal{N}\left(\left.x\right|^{-1}\right)}{\log B}$
1.1. the asymptotic bounds hold even for smallish $B$ 's
1.2. $\mathrm{xI}^{-1}$ behaves like a uniform ideal of bounded norm

## Heuristics - summary

Heuristic 1: $p_{\text {smooth }} \approx u^{-u}$ where $u=\frac{\left.\log N(x)^{-1}\right)}{\log B}$
1.1. the asymptotic bounds hold even for smallish $B$ 's
1.2. $\mathrm{xI}^{-1}$ behaves like a uniform ideal of bounded norm

Heuristic 2: $O(B)$ vectors from SampleVector() generate $\Lambda_{\mathcal{S}}$ with good probability

## Outline of the talk

## (1) Some definitions

(2) Heuristic algorithms
(3) Removing the first heuristic

## Provable sampling in ideals [BDPW22]

```
Algorithm SampleInIdeal
    1: sample random I = \
    2: }\boldsymbol{B}\leftarrowBKZ\mp@subsup{Z}{\beta}{}(I)\quad(B\mathrm{ reduced basis of I)
    3: sample random x\inI (using small basis B)
    4: return ( }x,I\mathrm{ )
```


## Provable sampling in ideals [BDPW22]

Algorithm SampleInIdeal
1: sample random $I=\prod_{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{S}} \mathfrak{p}^{m_{\mathfrak{p}}}$
2: $\boldsymbol{B} \leftarrow B K Z_{\beta}(I)$
( $B$ reduced basis of $I$ )
3: sample random $x \in I \quad$ (using small basis $B$ )
4: return ( $x, I$ )

## Theorem (ERH) [BDPW22]

For any infinite set $\mathcal{T}$ of ideals, it holds that

$$
\operatorname{Pr}_{(x, l) \leftarrow \text { SampleInIdeal }}\left(x l^{-1} \in \mathcal{T}\right) \geq \frac{\delta_{\mathcal{T}}\left[n^{n^{2} / \beta} \cdot \sqrt{\Delta_{K}}\right]}{3} .
$$

Definition: $\delta_{\mathcal{T}}[y] \approx \frac{|\{\mathfrak{a} \in \mathcal{T} \mid \mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{a}) \leq y\}|}{\{\mathfrak{a} \text { ideal } \mid \mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{a}) \leq y\} \mid} \quad$ (density of $\mathcal{T}$ at $y$ )

## Heuristic 1.2

Heuristic 1.2: $x I^{-1}$ behaves like a uniform ideal of bounded norm
Can be proven using previous slide up to

- changing slightly the sampling procedure
- decreasing by 3 the success probability
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## Heuristic 1.1
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- We could not prove it, but we proved


## Lemma

For any $B \geq \Omega\left(\left(n+\log \Delta_{K}\right)^{3}\right)$,
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## Heuristic 1.1
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## Lemma

For any $B \geq \Omega\left(\left(n+\log \Delta_{K}\right)^{3}\right)$,

$$
\delta_{\mathcal{S}}[y] \gtrsim u^{-u} \cdot \rho_{K}^{-1} .
$$

## Discussion:

- for cyclotomic fields, $\rho_{K}=\operatorname{poly}(n)$
- there exist fields where $\rho_{K}=\exp (n)$


## Heuristic 1.1

Heuristic 1.1: $\delta_{\mathcal{S}}[y] \approx u^{-u}$ even for small $B^{\prime} \mathrm{s} \quad(u=\log y / \log B)$

- We could not prove it, but we proved


## Lemma

For any $B \geq \Omega\left(\left(n+\log \Delta_{K}\right)^{3}\right)$,

$$
\delta_{\mathcal{S}}[y] \gtrsim u^{-u} \cdot \rho_{K}^{-1} .
$$

## Discussion:

- for cyclotomic fields, $\rho_{K}=\operatorname{poly}(n)$
- there exist fields where $\rho_{K}=\exp (n)$
- is the bound tight?
- If yes, this impacts also the heuristic algorithm


## Heuristic 1 - summary

One can prove heuristic 1 up to

- changing slightly the sampling procedure (same asymptotic complexity)
- dividing $p_{\text {smooth }}$ by $\rho_{K}$


## Heuristic 1 - summary

One can prove heuristic 1 up to

- changing slightly the sampling procedure
- dividing $p_{\text {smooth }}$ by $\rho_{K}$


## Theorem (ERH)

There is an algorithm SampleVector that computes $\boldsymbol{v} \in \Lambda_{\mathcal{S}}$ in time

$$
\rho_{K} \cdot\left(L_{\Delta_{K}}(1 / 2)+L_{n^{n}}(2 / 3)\right) .
$$

## Outline of the talk

## (1) Some definitions

(2) Heuristic algorithms
(3) Removing the first heuristic
(4) Removing the second heuristic

## Variation on SampleVector

## Reminder

There is an algorithm SampleVector that

- computes $\boldsymbol{v} \in \Lambda_{\mathcal{S}}$
- in time

$$
\rho_{K} \cdot\left(L_{\Delta_{K}}(1 / 2)+L_{n^{n}}(2 / 3)\right)
$$

## Variation on SampleVector

Reminder
There is an algorithm SampleVector that

- computes $x \in K$ and $\left(n_{\mathfrak{p}}\right)_{\mathfrak{p}} \in \mathbb{Z}^{|\mathcal{S}|}$ such that $x \mathcal{O}_{K}=\prod_{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{S}} \mathfrak{p}^{n_{p}}$
- in time

$$
\rho_{K} \cdot\left(L_{\Delta_{K}}(1 / 2)+L_{n^{n}}(2 / 3)\right) .
$$

## Variation on SampleVector

## Reminder

There is an algorithm SampleVector that

- takes as input an ideal /
- computes $x \in K$ and $\left(n_{\mathfrak{p}}\right)_{\mathfrak{p}} \in \mathbb{Z}^{|\mathcal{S}|}$ such that $x \mathcal{O}_{K}=1 \cdot \prod_{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{S}} \mathfrak{p}^{n_{\mathfrak{p}}}$
- in time

$$
\rho_{K} \cdot\left(L_{\Delta_{K}}(1 / 2)+L_{n^{n}}(2 / 3)\right) .
$$

## Variation on SampleVector

## Reminder

There is an algorithm SampleVector that

- takes as input an ideal /
- computes $x \in K$ and $\left(n_{\mathfrak{p}}\right)_{\mathfrak{p}} \in \mathbb{Z}^{|\mathcal{S}|}$ such that $x \mathcal{O}_{K}=l \cdot \prod_{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{S}} \mathfrak{p}^{n_{\mathfrak{p}}}$
- in time

$$
\rho_{K} \cdot\left(L_{\Delta_{K}}(1 / 2)+L_{n^{n}}(2 / 3)\right)
$$

From now on, we use SampleVector in a black-box way

## Heuristic 2 - main idea

```
Algorithm RandomVector
    1: sample random \(\boldsymbol{v}:=\left(\log x,\left(-n_{\mathfrak{p}}\right)\right) \quad\left(x \mathcal{O}_{K} \neq \prod_{p \in \mathcal{S}} \mathfrak{p}^{n_{p}}\right)\)
    2: define \(I:=x \cdot \prod_{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{S}} \mathfrak{p}^{-n_{\mathfrak{p}}}\)
    3: \(\boldsymbol{w}:=\left(\log y,\left(-m_{\mathfrak{p}}\right)_{\mathfrak{p}}\right) \leftarrow\) SampleVector (I) \(\quad\left(y \mathcal{O}_{K}=1 \cdot \Pi p^{m_{\mathfrak{p}}}\right)\)
```

    4: return \(\boldsymbol{w}-\boldsymbol{v}\)
    
## Heuristic 2 - main idea

Algorithm RandomVector
1: sample random $\boldsymbol{v}:=\left(\log x,\left(-n_{\mathfrak{p}}\right)\right) \quad\left(x \mathcal{O}_{k} \neq \prod_{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{S}} \mathfrak{p}^{n_{p}}\right)$
2: define $I:=x \cdot \prod_{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{S}} \mathfrak{p}^{-n_{\mathfrak{p}}}$
3: $\boldsymbol{w}:=\left(\log y,\left(-m_{\mathfrak{p}}\right)_{\mathfrak{p}}\right) \leftarrow$ SampleVector (I) $\quad\left(y \mathcal{O}_{K}=1 \cdot \Pi p^{m_{\mathfrak{p}}}\right)$
4: return $\boldsymbol{w}-\boldsymbol{v}$

Correctness: $y x^{-1} \cdot \mathcal{O}_{K}=\prod_{\mathfrak{p}} \mathfrak{p}^{m_{\mathfrak{p}}-n_{\mathfrak{p}}} \Rightarrow \boldsymbol{w}-\boldsymbol{v} \in \Lambda_{\mathcal{S}}$

## Heuristic 2 - main idea

Algorithm RandomVector
1: sample random $\boldsymbol{v}:=\left(\log x,\left(-n_{\mathfrak{p}}\right)\right) \quad\left(x \mathcal{O}_{K} \neq \prod_{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{S}} \mathfrak{p}^{n_{p}}\right)$
2: define $I:=x \cdot \prod_{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{S}} \mathfrak{p}^{-n_{\mathfrak{p}}}$
3: $\boldsymbol{w}:=\left(\log y,\left(-m_{\mathfrak{p}}\right)_{\mathfrak{p}}\right) \leftarrow$ SampleVector (I) $\quad\left(y \mathcal{O}_{K}=1 \cdot \Pi p^{m_{p}}\right)$
4: return $\boldsymbol{w}-\boldsymbol{v}$

Correctness: $y x^{-1} \cdot \mathcal{O}_{K}=\prod_{\mathfrak{p}} \mathfrak{p}^{m_{\mathfrak{p}}-n_{\mathfrak{p}}} \Rightarrow \boldsymbol{w}-\boldsymbol{v} \in \Lambda_{\mathcal{S}}$
Intuition: $\boldsymbol{w}-\boldsymbol{v}$ is random in $\Lambda_{\mathcal{S}}$ (and independent from other vectors obtained so far) because SampleVector cannot guess v from $/$.

## More details

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { Reminder: } & \bullet \boldsymbol{v}=\left(\log x,\left(-n_{\mathfrak{p}}\right)_{\mathfrak{p}}\right) \\
& \triangleright I=x \cdot \prod_{\mathfrak{p}} \mathfrak{p}^{-n_{\mathfrak{p}}} \\
& \vee \boldsymbol{w}=\operatorname{SampleVector}(I) \quad\left(\Rightarrow \boldsymbol{w}-\boldsymbol{v} \in \Lambda_{\mathcal{S}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## More details

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { Reminder: } & \triangleright v=\left(\log x,\left(-n_{\mathfrak{p}}\right)_{\mathfrak{p}}\right) \\
& \triangleright I=x \cdot \prod_{\mathfrak{p}} \mathfrak{p}^{-n_{\mathfrak{p}}} \\
& \triangleright \boldsymbol{w}=\operatorname{SampleVector}(I) \quad\left(\Rightarrow \boldsymbol{w}-\boldsymbol{v} \in \Lambda_{\mathcal{S}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Claim: $\boldsymbol{w}$ only depends on $\boldsymbol{v} \bmod \Lambda_{S}$ and $\boldsymbol{w}-\boldsymbol{v} \in \Lambda_{S}$

## More details

Reminder: $\quad \boldsymbol{v}=\left(\log x,\left(-n_{\mathfrak{p}}\right)_{\mathfrak{p}}\right)$

- $\quad I=x \cdot \prod_{p} \mathfrak{p}^{-n_{p}}$
- $\boldsymbol{w}=\operatorname{SampleVector}(\mathrm{I}) \quad\left(\Rightarrow w-v \in \Lambda_{\mathcal{S}}\right)$

Claim: $\boldsymbol{w}$ only depends on $\boldsymbol{v} \bmod \Lambda_{S}$ and $\boldsymbol{w}-\boldsymbol{v} \in \Lambda_{S}$

Proof: $x \prod_{\mathfrak{p}} \mathfrak{p}^{-n_{\mathfrak{p}}}=x^{\prime} \prod_{\mathfrak{p}} \mathfrak{p}^{-n_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime}} \Leftrightarrow \boldsymbol{v}=\boldsymbol{v}^{\prime} \bmod \Lambda_{\mathcal{S}}$

## More details

Reminder: $\quad \boldsymbol{v}=\left(\log x,\left(-n_{\mathfrak{p}}\right)_{\mathfrak{p}}\right)$

- $\quad I=x \cdot \prod_{p} \mathfrak{p}^{-n_{p}}$
- $\boldsymbol{w}=\operatorname{SampleVector}(\mathrm{I}) \quad\left(\Rightarrow w-v \in \Lambda_{\mathcal{S}}\right)$

Claim: $\boldsymbol{w}$ only depends on $\boldsymbol{v} \bmod \Lambda_{S}$ and $\boldsymbol{w}-\boldsymbol{v} \in \Lambda_{S}$

Proof: $x \prod_{\mathfrak{p}} \mathfrak{p}^{-n_{\mathfrak{p}}}=x^{\prime} \prod_{\mathfrak{p}} \mathfrak{p}^{-n_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime}} \Leftrightarrow \boldsymbol{v}=\boldsymbol{v}^{\prime} \bmod \Lambda_{\mathcal{S}}$
$\Rightarrow I$ only depends on $\boldsymbol{v}+\Lambda_{\mathcal{S}}$
$\Rightarrow \boldsymbol{w}$ only depends on $\boldsymbol{v}+\Lambda_{\mathcal{S}}$
(provided we have a canonical representation for $I$ )

## Distribution of $v$

$$
\left.\boldsymbol{w}=f\left(\boldsymbol{v}+\Lambda_{\mathcal{S}}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad \boldsymbol{w}-\boldsymbol{v} \in \Lambda_{S} \quad \text { (f might be randomized }\right)
$$

## Distribution of $v$

$$
\boldsymbol{w}=f\left(\boldsymbol{v}+\Lambda_{\mathcal{S}}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad \boldsymbol{w}-\boldsymbol{v} \in \Lambda_{S} \quad(f \text { might be randomized })
$$

Consequence: ( $D_{\Lambda, \sigma}$ centered discrete gaussian distribution over $\Lambda$, deviation $\sigma$ )

- $\boldsymbol{v} \leftarrow D_{\sigma}$
- $\boldsymbol{w} \leftarrow f\left(\boldsymbol{v}+\Lambda_{\mathcal{S}}\right)$
- return $\boldsymbol{z}:=\boldsymbol{v}-\boldsymbol{w}$
$-\boldsymbol{v}^{\prime}+\Lambda_{\mathcal{S}} \leftarrow D_{\sigma} \bmod \Lambda_{\mathcal{S}}$
- $\boldsymbol{w} \leftarrow f\left(\boldsymbol{v}^{\prime}+\Lambda_{\mathcal{S}}\right)$
- $\boldsymbol{v} \leftarrow D_{\boldsymbol{v}^{\prime}+\Lambda_{\mathcal{S}}, \sigma}$
- return $\boldsymbol{z}:=\boldsymbol{v}-\boldsymbol{w}$


## Distribution of $v$

$$
\left.\boldsymbol{w}=f\left(\boldsymbol{v}+\Lambda_{\mathcal{S}}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad \boldsymbol{w}-\boldsymbol{v} \in \Lambda_{S} \quad \text { (f might be randomized }\right)
$$

Consequence: ( $D_{\Lambda, \sigma}$ centered discrete gaussian distribution over $\Lambda$, deviation $\sigma$ )

- $\boldsymbol{v} \leftarrow D_{\sigma}$
- $\boldsymbol{w} \leftarrow f\left(\boldsymbol{v}+\Lambda_{\mathcal{S}}\right)$
- return $\boldsymbol{z}:=\boldsymbol{v}-\boldsymbol{w}$
$-\boldsymbol{v}^{\prime}+\Lambda_{\mathcal{S}} \leftarrow D_{\sigma} \bmod \Lambda_{\mathcal{S}}$
- $\boldsymbol{w} \leftarrow f\left(\boldsymbol{v}^{\prime}+\Lambda_{\mathcal{S}}\right)$
- $\boldsymbol{v} \leftarrow D_{\mathbf{v}^{\prime}+\Lambda_{\mathcal{S}}, \sigma}$
- return $\boldsymbol{z}:=\boldsymbol{v}-\boldsymbol{w}$

$$
\left.\boldsymbol{v}-\boldsymbol{w} \sim D_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{S}}, \sigma, \boldsymbol{c}} \quad \text { (for some random center } c=-w\right)
$$

## Distribution of $v$

$$
\boldsymbol{w}=f\left(\boldsymbol{v}+\Lambda_{\mathcal{S}}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad \boldsymbol{w}-\boldsymbol{v} \in \Lambda_{S} \quad(f \text { might be randomized })
$$

Consequence: ( $D_{\Lambda, \sigma}$ centered discrete gaussian distribution over $\Lambda$, deviation $\sigma$ )

- $\boldsymbol{v} \leftarrow D_{\sigma}$
- $\boldsymbol{w} \leftarrow f\left(\boldsymbol{v}+\Lambda_{\mathcal{S}}\right)$
(if $\sigma$ large enough)
$-\boldsymbol{v}^{\prime}+\Lambda_{\mathcal{S}} \leftarrow D_{\sigma} \bmod \Lambda_{\mathcal{S}}$
- $\boldsymbol{w} \leftarrow f\left(\boldsymbol{v}^{\prime}+\Lambda_{\mathcal{S}}\right)$
- $\mathbf{v} \leftarrow D_{\mathbf{v}^{\prime}+\Lambda_{\mathcal{S}}, \sigma}$
- return $\boldsymbol{z}:=\boldsymbol{v}-\boldsymbol{w}$

$$
\left.\boldsymbol{v}-\boldsymbol{w} \sim D_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{S}}, \sigma, \boldsymbol{c}} \quad \text { (for some random center } c=-w\right)
$$

Lemma: $O(B)$ samples from $D_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{S}}, \sigma, c}$ generate $\Lambda_{\mathcal{S}}$ with high probability

## Heuristic 2 - summary

Algorithm ComputeSUnits
1: for $O(B)$ loops do
2: $\quad$ sample $\boldsymbol{v}:=\left(\log (x),\left(-n_{\mathfrak{p}}\right)\right) \leftarrow D_{\sigma}$
3: $\quad \boldsymbol{w} \leftarrow \operatorname{SampleVector}\left(x \cdot \prod_{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{S}} \mathfrak{p}^{-n_{\mathfrak{p}}}\right)$
4: $\quad \boldsymbol{z}_{\boldsymbol{i}}:=\boldsymbol{v}-\boldsymbol{w}$
5: end for
6: return $\left(z_{i}\right)_{i}$

## Heuristic 2 - summary

Algorithm ComputeSUnits

## 1: for $O(B)$ loops do

2: $\quad$ sample $\boldsymbol{v}:=\left(\log (x),\left(-n_{\mathfrak{p}}\right)\right) \leftarrow D_{\sigma}$
3: $\boldsymbol{w} \leftarrow \operatorname{SampleVector}\left(x \cdot \prod_{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{S}} \mathfrak{p}^{-n_{\mathfrak{p}}}\right)$
4: $\quad \boldsymbol{z}_{\boldsymbol{i}}:=\boldsymbol{v}-\boldsymbol{w}$
5: end for
6: return $\left(z_{i}\right)_{i}$

## Theorem (ERH)

If SampleVector is correct, then ComputeSUnits computes a generating set of $\Lambda_{\mathcal{S}}$ with high probability in time $T$ (SampleVector) $\cdot \operatorname{poly}(B)$.

## Conclusion

## Summary:

- remove both heuristics of Biasse-Fieker algorithm (under ERH)
- algorithm is slightly modified
- the run time is increased by a factor $\rho_{K}$
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Open question 1: is $\quad \delta_{\mathcal{S}}[y] \approx u^{-u} \quad$ or $\quad \delta_{\mathcal{S}}[y] \approx \rho_{K}^{-1} \cdot u^{-u}$ ? (Reminder: $\delta_{\mathcal{S}}[y]=$ density of $B$-smooth ideals of norm $\leq y$ )

- can we improve our runtime?
- or are the runtime of the heuristic algorithms too optimistic?
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- algorithm is slightly modified
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- can we improve our runtime?
- or are the runtime of the heuristic algorithms too optimistic?

Open question 2: Las Vegas algorithm instead of Monte Carlo? (check the precision needed for the linear algebra step)

## Conclusion

## Summary:

- remove both heuristics of Biasse-Fieker algorithm (under ERH)
- algorithm is slightly modified
- the run time is increased by a factor $\rho_{K}$

Open question 1: is $\quad \delta_{\mathcal{S}}[y] \approx u^{-u} \quad$ or $\quad \delta_{\mathcal{S}}[y] \approx \rho_{K}^{-1} \cdot u^{-u}$ ? (Reminder: $\delta_{\mathcal{S}}[y]=$ density of $B$-smooth ideals of norm $\leq y$ )

- can we improve our runtime?
- or are the runtime of the heuristic algorithms too optimistic?

Open question 2: Las Vegas algorithm instead of Monte Carlo? (check the precision needed for the linear algebra step)

## Questions?

