## Tutorial 8: ROM and CCA security

## Exercise 1.

Pollard-rho
Let $\mathbb{G}$ be a cyclic group generated by $g$, of (known) prime order $q$, and let $h$ be an element of $\mathbb{G}$. Let $F: \mathbb{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{q}$ be a nonzero function, and let us define the function $H: \mathbb{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}$ by $H(\alpha)=\alpha \cdot h \cdot g^{F(\alpha)}$. We consider the following algorithm (called Pollard $\rho$ Algorithm).

## Pollard $\rho$ Algorithm

Input: $h, g \in \mathbb{G}$
Output: $x \in\{0, \ldots, q-1\}$ such that $h=g^{x}$ or fail.
$i \leftarrow 1$
$x \leftarrow 0, \alpha \leftarrow h$
$y \leftarrow F(\alpha) ; \beta \leftarrow H(\alpha)$
while $\alpha \neq \beta$ do
$x \leftarrow x+F(\alpha) \bmod q ; \alpha \leftarrow H(\alpha)$
. $y \leftarrow y+F(\beta) \bmod q ; \beta \leftarrow H(\beta)$
$y \leftarrow y+F(\beta) \bmod q ; \beta \leftarrow H(\beta)$
$i \leftarrow i+1$
end while
10. if $i<q$ then
11. return $(x-y) / i \bmod q$
12. else
13. return FAIL
14. end if

To study this algorithm, we define the sequence $\left(\gamma_{i}\right)$ by $\gamma_{1}=h$ and $\gamma_{i+1}=H\left(\gamma_{i}\right)$ for $i \geqslant 1$.

1. Show that in the while loop from lines 4 to 9 of the algorithm, we have $\alpha=\gamma_{i}=g^{x} h^{i}$ and $\beta=\gamma_{2 i}=g^{y} h^{2 i}$.
2. Show that if this loop finishes with $i<q$, then the algorithm returns the discrete logarithm of $h$ in basis $g$.
3. Let $j$ be the smallest integer such that $\gamma_{j}=\gamma_{k}$ for $k<j$. Show that $j \leqslant q+1$ and that the loop ends with $i<j$.
4. Show that if $F$ is a random function, then the average execution time of the algorithm is in $O\left(q^{1 / 2}\right)$ multiplications in $\mathbb{G}$.

In this exercise we show a scheme that can be proven secure in the random oracle model, but is insecure when the random oracle model is instantiated with SHA-3 (or any fixed hash function). Let $\Pi$ be a signature scheme that is secure in the standard model.
Construct a signature scheme $\Pi_{y}$ where signing is carried out as follows: if $H(0)=y$ then output the secret key, if $H(0) \neq y$ then return a signature computed using $\Pi$.

1. Prove that for any value $y$, the scheme $\Pi_{y}$ is secure in the random oracle model.
2. Show that there exists a particular $y$ for which $\Pi_{y}$ is insecure when the random oracle model is instantiated with a fixed function $H$.

Remark. Here, we assumed that $H$ is a fixed function. In the definition of hash functions given in class, a hash function $H^{s_{0}}$ is sampled at the beginning of the scheme, uniformly among an ensemble of hash functions $\left\{H^{s}, s \in S\right\}$. If we replace in the previous question the fixed function $H$ by a uniformly chosen function $H^{s}$, then the construction given above is not necessarily insecure. However, there are more complex constructions that can be shown to be secure in the random oracle model but insecure when instantiated with any family of functions $\left\{H^{s}, s \in S\right\} .{ }^{1}$ Exercise 3. PRF from a random oracle

Let $H:\{0,1\}^{2 n} \rightarrow\{0,1\}^{n}$ be a random oracle. For $x \in\{0,1\}^{n}$ and $k \in\{0,1\}^{n}$, we define $F_{k}$ as follows:

$$
F_{k}(x)=H(k \| x)
$$

The security of a PRF $F_{k}$ is defined by the following game:

- A random function $H$, a random $k \in\{0,1\}^{n}$ and a uniform bit $b$ are chosen.
- If $b=0$, the adversary $\mathcal{A}$ is given access to an oracle for evaluating $F_{k}(\cdot)$. If $b=1$ then $\mathcal{A}$ is given access an oracle for evaluating a random function mapping $n$-bit inputs to $n$-bit outputs (which is independent of $H$ ).
- $\mathcal{A}$ outputs a bit $b^{\prime}$, and succeeds if $b=b^{\prime}$.

Note that during the second step, $\mathcal{A}$ can access $H$ in addition to the function oracle provided by the experiment.
The function $F_{k}$ is a PRF if for any polynomial-time adversary $\mathcal{A}$, the success probability of $\mathcal{A}$ in the preceding experiment is at most negligibly greater than $1 / 2$.

1. Show that $F_{k}$ is a PRF.

Exercise 4.
IND-CPA schemes that are not CCA
We recall the El Gamal public key encryption scheme, in a group $G$ with generator $g \in G$ and order $n$.

- Keygen: sample $x$ uniformly in $\mathbb{Z} / n \mathbb{Z}$. Set $s k=x$ and $p k=g^{x}$.
- Enc $(p k, m)$ : for any message $m \in G$, sample $r \leftarrow U\left(\mathbb{Z}_{n}\right)$ and output $\left(c_{1}, c_{2}\right)=\left(g^{r}, p k^{r} \cdot m\right)$.
- $\operatorname{Dec}(s k, c)$ : for any $c=\left(c_{1}, c_{2}\right) \in G^{2}$, output $m=c_{2} \cdot c_{1}^{-s k}$.

1. Show that if $\left(c_{1}, c_{2}\right)=\operatorname{Enc}(p k, m)$ and $\left(c_{1}^{\prime}, c_{2}^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{Enc}\left(p k, m^{\prime}\right)$ then $\left(c_{1} \cdot c_{1}^{\prime}, c_{2} \cdot c_{2}^{\prime}\right)$ is a valid ciphertext for $m \cdot m^{\prime}$. We say that the El Gamal encryption scheme is homomorphic for multiplication.
2. Show that this scheme is not CCA2-secure.

We now recall the LWE-based public key encryption scheme seen in class, instantiated to encrypt only 1-bit messages.

[^0]- Keygen: Let $m, n, q, B$ be some integers such that $m>n$ and $q>8 m B^{2}$. Let $\chi$ be the distribution $U([-B, B-1] \cap \mathbb{Z})$. Sample $A \leftarrow U\left(\mathbb{Z}_{q}^{m \times n}\right)$, $s \leftarrow \chi^{n}$ and $e \leftarrow \chi^{m}$. Output $s k=s$ and $p k=(A, b)$ with $b=A s+e$.
- Enc $(p k, m)$ : for any message $m \in\{0,1\}$, sample $t \leftarrow \chi^{m}, f \leftarrow \chi^{n}$ and $f^{\prime} \leftarrow \chi$. Output $\left(c_{1}, c_{2}\right)=\left(t \cdot A+f, t \cdot b+f^{\prime}+\lfloor q / 2\rceil m\right) \in \mathbb{Z}_{q}^{n} \times \mathbb{Z}_{q}$.
- $\operatorname{Dec}(s k, c)$ : for any $c=\left(c_{1}, c_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}_{q}^{n} \times \mathbb{Z}_{q}$, compute $x=c_{2}-c_{1} \cdot s$ and take for $x$ the representative in $[-q / 2, q / 2]$. If $|x|<q / 4$ output 0 , otherwise output 1 .

3. Show that this scheme is not CCA2-secure.

## Exercise 5.

Cramer-Shoup
We consider the following encryption scheme, proposed by Cramer and Shoup (and called "lite Cramer-Shoup") in 1998.
Keygen $\left(1^{\lambda}\right)$ : Choose a cyclic group $\mathbb{G}$ of large prime order $q>2^{\lambda}$. Choose generators $g$, $h \leftarrow U(\mathbb{G})$.
Choose $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \leftarrow U\left(\mathbb{Z}_{q}\right)$ and compute $X=g^{\alpha} h^{\beta}$ and $Y=g^{\gamma} h^{\delta}$.
Define $P K:=(g, h, X, Y), S K:=(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta) \in \mathbb{Z}_{q}^{4}$.
$\operatorname{Encrypt}(P K, M)$ : In order to encrypt $M \in \mathbb{G}$, do the following.

1. Choose a random $r \leftarrow U\left(\mathbb{Z}_{q}\right)$ and compute

$$
C=\left(C_{0}, C_{1}, C_{2}, C_{3}\right)=\left(M \cdot X^{r}, g^{r}, h^{r}, Y^{r}\right) .
$$

2. Output $C=\left(C_{0}, C_{1}, C_{2}, C_{3}\right)$.
$\operatorname{Decrypt}(S K, C)$ : Parse $C$ as $\left(C_{0}, C_{1}, C_{2}, C_{3}\right) \in \mathbb{G}^{4}$ (and return $\perp$ if $C$ is not in $\mathbb{G}^{4}$ ). If $C_{3} \neq C_{1}^{\gamma} \cdot C_{2}^{\delta}$, return $\perp$. Otherwise, output $M=C_{0} /\left(C_{1}^{\alpha} \cdot C_{2}^{\beta}\right)$.
3. Show that the scheme is not secure in the IND-CCA2 sense.

We now consider the problem of proving that the scheme provides IND-CCA1 security under the DDH assumption in $\mathbb{G}$.
2. Show that, if $\left(g, h, C_{1}, C_{2}\right)=\left(g, h, g^{r}, h^{r}\right)$ for some random $r \leftarrow U\left(\mathbb{Z}_{q}\right)$, then

$$
\left(C_{0}, C_{1}, C_{2}, C_{3}\right)=\left(M \cdot C_{1}^{\alpha} C_{2}^{\beta}, C_{1}, C_{2}, C_{1}^{\gamma} C_{2}^{\delta}\right)
$$

is distributed as a valid ciphertext.
3. Show that, if $\left(g, h, C_{1}, C_{2}\right)=\left(g, h, g^{r}, h^{r^{\prime}}\right)$ for some random $r \leftarrow U\left(\mathbb{Z}_{q}\right), r^{\prime} \leftarrow U\left(\mathbb{Z}_{q} \backslash\{r\}\right)$, then

$$
\left(C_{0}, C_{1}, C_{2}, C_{3}\right)=\left(M \cdot C_{1}^{\alpha} C_{2}^{\beta}, C_{1}, C_{2}, C_{1}^{\gamma} C_{2}^{\delta}\right)
$$

for some random $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \leftarrow U\left(\mathbb{Z}_{q}\right)$, is statistically independent of $M \in \mathbb{G}$, even conditionally on the information that $P K$ reveals about $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta) \in \mathbb{Z}_{q}^{4}$.
4. We consider the following variant of DDH.
$\mathrm{DDH}^{\prime}$ consists in distinguishing between tuples of the form $\left(g^{a}, g^{b}, g^{a b}\right)$ and $\left(g^{a}, g^{b}, g^{a b^{\prime}}\right)$ with $a, b$ uniform modulo $q$ and $b^{\prime}$ uniform in $\mathbb{Z}_{q} \backslash\{b\}$. Show that the scheme provides IND-CPA security under the $\mathrm{DDH}^{\prime}$ assumption. (Bonus: Show that DDH reduces to $\mathrm{DDH}^{\prime}$.)
5. Show that, with high probability, decryption queries (which all occur before the adversary sees the challenge ciphertext) of the form $C=\left(C_{0}, g^{r}, h^{r^{\prime}}, C_{3}\right)$ (with $\left.r \neq r^{\prime}\right)$ always receive the response $\perp$. Deduce that the scheme is IND-CCA1-secure


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ See [CGHo8], https:/ /arxiv.org/pdf/cs/oo10019.pdf, for more details.

