## ExERCISES

In all the exercises, unless specified otherwise, we take $P=X^{d}+1$ for $d$ a power-of-two, $K=\mathbb{Q}[X] / P(X)$ and $R=\mathbb{Z}[X] / P(X)$.

## 1 Canonical and coefficient embeddings

In this exercise, we take $P=X^{d}+1$ for $d$ a power-of-two, $K=\mathbb{Q}[X] / P(X)$ and $R=\mathbb{Z}[X] / P(X)$.

1. Show that the map from $\mathbb{Q}^{d}$ to $\mathbb{C}^{d}$ sending $\Sigma(a)$ to $\tau(a)$ (for $a \in K$ ) is a $\mathbb{Q}$-linear morphism. Exhibit the matrix $M \in \mathrm{GL}_{d}(\mathbb{C})$ such that $\tau(a)=M \cdot \Sigma(a)$ for all $a \in K$.
2. How can we compute $\Sigma(a)$ in polynomial time from $\tau(a)$ ? (this is equivalent to inverting the map $\tau$, since recovering $a$ from $\Sigma(a)$ is immediate).
3. Show that $M \cdot M^{*}=d \cdot I_{d}$, where $M^{*}=\bar{M}^{T}$.
(Hint 1: you may want to prove first that if $\zeta \in \mathbb{C}$ is a $m$-th root of unity different from 1 , then $\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \zeta^{i}=0$ )
(Hint 2: to prove Hint 1, you can consider the equality $\left(\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} X^{i}\right) \cdot(X-1)=X^{m}-1$
4. Deduce from the previous question that we also have $M^{*} \cdot M=d \cdot I_{d}$.
5. Conclude that $\|\tau(a)\|=\sqrt{d} \cdot\|\Sigma(a)\|$ for all $a \in K$.

## 2 Ideal lattices

In this exercise again, we take $P=X^{d}+1$ for $d$ a power-of-two, $K=\mathbb{Q}[X] / P(X)$ and $R=\mathbb{Z}[X] / P(X)$.

1. Show that if $a \in K$ is non-zero, then the $d$ vectors $\tau\left(a \cdot X^{i}\right)$ for $i=0$ to $d-1$ are $\mathbb{Q}$-linearly independent. (Hint: you may want to use the fact that $\sigma: K \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{d}$ is injective)
2. Show that for any $a, b \in R$ with $a \neq b$, then $\|\tau(a)-\tau(b)\| \geq \sqrt{d}$.
(Hint: you may want to use the fact that $\|\tau(x)\|=\sqrt{d} \cdot\|\Sigma(x)\|$ )
3. Conclude that for any non-zero ideal $\mathfrak{a}$, the set $\tau(\mathfrak{a})$ is a lattice of rank $d$ in $\mathbb{C}^{d}$.
4. Show that in any non-zero ideal $\mathfrak{a}$, it holds that $\lambda_{1}(\tau(\mathfrak{a}))=\cdots=\lambda_{d}(\tau(\mathfrak{a}))$.
(Hint: you may want to use question 1 again.)
5. Prove that if one knows a solution to $\mathrm{SVP}_{\gamma}$ in $\mathfrak{a}$, then one can construct in polynomial time a solution to $\mathrm{SIVP}_{\gamma}$ in $\mathfrak{a}$.
6. Prove that the reciprocal is also true: if one knows a solution to $\operatorname{SIVP}_{\gamma}$ in $\mathfrak{a}$, then one can construct in polynomial time a solution to $\mathrm{SVP}_{\gamma}$ in $\mathfrak{a}$.

## 3 Albrecht-Deo's reduction

In this exercise again, we take $P=X^{d}+1$ for $d$ a power-of-two, $K=\mathbb{Q}[X] / P(X)$ and $R=\mathbb{Z}[X] / P(X)$. All the vectors are by default column vectors.

We will admit that if $q$ is a prime integer and if the $a_{i}$ are sampled uniformly in $R_{q}^{n}$ for some $n>1$, then with overwhelming probability, it suffices to sample a polynomial number of $a_{i}$ 's to be able to extract $n$ of them $a_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, a_{n}^{\prime}$ such that the matrix whose rows are the $\left(a_{i}^{\prime}\right)^{T}$ 's is invertible in $R_{q}$.

1. Assume that we have access to an oracle computing samples from the distribution $D_{n, q, \chi}^{\mathrm{MLWE}}(s)$ (for some $s \in R_{q}^{n}$ ), and assume that we have $n$ samples $\left(a_{i}, b_{i}\right) \in R_{q}^{n} \times R_{q}$ from $D_{n, q, \chi}^{\mathrm{MLWE}}(s)$ such that the matrix $\bar{A}$ whose rows are the $a_{i}$ is invertible in $R_{q}$. Let $b_{i}=\left\langle a_{i}, s\right\rangle+e_{i}$ with $e_{i} \leftarrow \chi$ and let us write $\bar{e}=\left(e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}\right)^{T}$ and $\bar{b}=\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n}\right)^{T}$. Observe that, by definition, we have $\bar{b}=\bar{A} \cdot s+\bar{e}$.
Let $(a, b) \leftarrow D_{n, q, \chi}^{\mathrm{MLWE}}(s)$. Define $a^{\prime}=\bar{A}^{-T} \cdot a$ and $b^{\prime}=\left\langle a^{\prime}, \bar{b}\right\rangle-b$. Show that $\left(a^{\prime}, b^{\prime}\right)$ is a sample from $D_{n, q, \chi}^{\mathrm{MLWE}}(\bar{e})$.
2. Conclude that there is a polynomial time reduction from MLWE $_{n, q, \chi}$ to $\mathrm{HNF}^{-\mathrm{MLWE}_{n, q, \chi} \text {, which is a variant of }}$ MLWE where the secret is sampled from the distribution $\chi^{n}$ instead of being chosen uniformly in $R_{q}^{n}$.
3. Let $e \in R$ be such that $\|\tau(e)\| \leq \beta$ for some $\beta>0$. Let $X=\left\{x \in R \mid\|\Sigma(x)\|_{\infty} \leq \beta^{\prime}\right\}$ and $Y=\left\{x \in R \mid\|\Sigma(x-e)\|_{\infty} \leq \beta^{\prime}\right\}$ for some $\beta^{\prime}>0$ not in $\mathbb{Z}$. Show that $|X| \leq\left(2 \beta^{\prime}\right)^{d}$ and that $|X \cap Y| \geq\left(2\left(\beta^{\prime}-\beta\right)\right)^{d}$.
4. Let $\chi^{\prime}$ be the uniform distribution over $\left\{x \in R \mid\|\Sigma(x)\|_{\infty} \leq \beta^{\prime}\right\}$ where $\beta^{\prime}=\beta \cdot 2^{d+1} d$. Assume that $\beta^{\prime} \notin \mathbb{Z}$, using the previous question, show that the statistical distance between $\chi^{\prime}$ and $e+\chi^{\prime}$ is $\leq 2^{-d}$.
5. Conclude the proof of Albrecht-Deo's reduction from the course.

## 4 Subfields and automorphisms

In this exercise, we take $P=X^{4}+1, K=\mathbb{Q}[X] / P(X)$ and $R=\mathbb{Z}[X] / P(X)$.

1. What are the automorphisms of $K$ ? Show that $\operatorname{Gal}(K)$ is isomorphic as a group to $(\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z},+)$.
2. Deduce from the previous question that $K$ admits one subfield of degree 1 , three subfields of degree 2 and one subfield of degree 4 .
3. Exhibit a basis for all the subfields from the previous question.

## 5 Canonical embedding and automorphisms

In this exercise, we take $P=X^{d}+1$ for $d$ a power-of-two, $K=\mathbb{Q}[X] / P(X)$ and $R=\mathbb{Z}[X] / P(X)$. Let $\zeta$ be a primitive (2d)-th root of unity in $\mathbb{C}$, and define, for $i=1$ to $d$ the maps

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{i}: \quad K & \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \\
a(X) & \mapsto a\left(\zeta^{2 i-1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

These maps $\sigma_{i}$ are called the complex embeddings of $K$. Recall that the canonical embedding $\tau$ of an element $a \in K$ is defined as $\tau(a):=\left(\sigma_{1}(a), \ldots, \sigma_{d}(a)\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{d}$. Let $\varphi$ be some automorphism of $K$.

1. Show that for all $i \in\{1, \ldots, d\}$, there exists $k \in\{1, \ldots, d\}$ such that $\sigma_{i} \circ \varphi=\sigma_{k}$.
2. Show that the map $\sigma \mapsto \sigma \circ \varphi$ is actually a permutation over the set of complex embeddings $\left(\sigma_{i}\right)_{1 \leq i \leq d}$.
3. Conclude that for all $a \in K$, it holds that $\|\tau(\varphi(a))\|=\|\tau(a)\|$.

## 6 Short vectors in special ideals

Let $\mathfrak{a}$ be an ideal of $K=\mathbb{Q}[X] /\left(X^{d}+1\right)$. Let $H$ be its decomposition group (i.e., $H=\{\varphi \in \operatorname{Gal}(K) \mid \varphi(\mathfrak{a})=\mathfrak{a}\}$ ), and let $K_{H}$ be the fixed field of $H$ (i.e., $K_{H}=\{x \in K \mid \varphi(x)=x, \forall \varphi \in H\}$ ).

1. Let $x \in \mathfrak{a}$ be non-zero. Define $w_{i}=\operatorname{Tr}_{K / K_{H}}\left(x \cdot X^{i-1}\right)$ for $i \in\{1, \ldots, d\}$. Show that there exists an index $i_{0}$ for which $w_{i_{0}}$ is non-zero.
(Hint: recall from Exercise 2 that the vectors $x \cdot X^{i-1}$ are linearly independent, hence they form $a \mathbb{Q}$-basis of K.)
2. Prove that, for all $i$, it holds that $\left\|\tau\left(w_{i}\right)\right\| \leq|H| \cdot\|\tau(x)\|$.
(Hint: recall that $\|\tau(y \cdot X)\|=\|\tau(y)\|$ for all $y \in K$.)
3. Show that $w_{i} \in \mathfrak{b}:=\mathfrak{a} \cap K_{H}$ for all $i$ 's.
(Hint: this is where we use that $H$ is the decomposition group of $\mathfrak{a}$.)
4. Conclude that $\lambda_{1}(\tau(\mathfrak{b})) \leq|H| \cdot \lambda_{1}(\tau(\mathfrak{a}))$.

## 7 NTRU

For the first 3 questions, assume that $K=\mathbb{Q}$, and that $q$ is a prime integer.

1. Let $(f, g) \in \mathbb{Z}^{2}$ with $(f, g) \neq(0,0)$ and $|f|,|g|<q$. Let $h, h^{\prime} \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $g h=f \bmod q$ and $g h^{\prime}=f \bmod q$. Show that $h=h^{\prime} \bmod q$.
(Hint: it may be useful to prove that $q$ is invertible modulo q.)
2. Show that for any $B>0$, the number of pairs $(f, g) \in \mathbb{Z}^{2}$ with $|f|,|g| \leq B$ is at most $(2 B+1)^{2}$.
3. Deduce from the previous two questions that for $B<q$, the proportion of $\operatorname{NTRU}_{q, B}$ instances in $\mathbb{Z}$ is $\leq \frac{(2 B+1)^{2}}{q}$. (Hint: observe that if $h$ is an $N T R U_{q, B}$ instance, then any $h^{\prime}=h \bmod q$ is also an $N T R U_{q, B}$ instance, so it suffices to consider the $h$ in $\{0, \ldots, q-1\}$.)

From now on, $K=\mathbb{Q}[X] /\left(X^{d}+1\right)$ as usual, and let $q \geq 5$. Let $\chi$ be the uniform distribution over polynomials of $\mathbb{Z}[X] /\left(X^{d}+1\right)$ with coefficients in $\{-1,0,1\}$, and let $\psi$ be the distribution obtained by sampling $f, g \leftarrow \chi$ until $g$ is invertible $\bmod q$, and returning $h=f / g \bmod q$. Note that $\psi$ is a distribution over $\operatorname{NTRU}_{q, B}$ instances for $B=d$ (because $\|\tau(f)\|=\sqrt{ } d \cdot\|\Sigma(f)\| \leq d$ if $f \leftarrow \chi$ ). Recall that the dec-NTRU $q, B, \psi$ problem asks to distinguish $h \leftarrow \psi$ from $h \leftarrow \mathcal{U}\left(R_{q}\right)$.

1. Show that dec-NTRU ${ }_{q, B, \psi}$ would be easy to solve if we had taken $h=f \bmod q$ instead of $h=f / g \bmod q$.
2. Show that dec-NTRU ${ }_{q, B, \psi}$ would be easy to solve if we had taken $h=1 / g \bmod q$ instead of $h=f / g \bmod q$.
